IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff, 4:22-CR-3098

vs. ORDER

MELECIO MEZA SALAMANCA,

Defendant.

This matter is before the Court on the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation (filing 66) recommending that the Court deny the defendant's motion to suppress (filing 52). The defendant has not objected to the Magistrate Judge's findings and recommendation. See NECrimR 59.2(a); see also filing 78.

Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) provides for de novo review of a Magistrate Judge's findings or recommendations only when a party objects to them. *Peretz v. United States*, 501 U.S. 923 (1991). Failure to object to a finding of fact in a Magistrate Judge's recommendation may be construed as a waiver of the right to object from the district court's order adopting the recommendation of the finding of fact. NECrimR 59.2(e). The claimant was expressly advised that "failing to file an objection to this recommendation as provided in the local rules of this court may be held to be a waiver of any right to appeal the court's adoption of the recommendation." Filing 66. And the failure to file an objection eliminates not only the need for de novo review, but any review by the Court. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *Leonard v. Dorsey & Whitney LLP*, 553 F.3d 609 (8th Cir. 2009); *see also United States v. Meyer*, 439 F.3d 855, 858-59 (8th Cir. 2006).

Accordingly, the Court will adopt the Magistrate Judge's recommendation that the defendant's motion to suppress be denied, and any objection is deemed waived.

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. The Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation (filing 66) are adopted.
- 2. The defendant's motion to suppress (filing 52) is denied.

Dated this 10th day of April, 2023.

BY THE COURT:

∣∮hn M. Gerrard

enior United States District Judge